



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/509,301	06/13/2000	HAROLD JAY WILLIAM	11750-002001 7680		
JOHN F HAYDEN FISH & RICHARDSON 600 13TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			BARRY, CHESTER T		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
		·	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					#\S	
		Application N	o. ——/	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/509,301		WILLIAM, HAROLD JAY		
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-	
	The MAN INC DATE of the	Chester T. Bar		1724		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress	
Figure 1 He	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adequated term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi	wever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days or re SIX (6) MONTHS from the	ly filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this com	nmunication.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	June 2003 .				
2a)⊠		nis action is non-	-final			
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for	formal matters, pro-	secution as to the 3 O.G. 213.	merits is	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	1		•		
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		eration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
1	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requir	omont			
	on Papers	r ciccuon requir	ement.			
9) 🗆 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 7	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) object	ted to by the Exami	ner		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				•	
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∐ approv	ed b)∐ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			·		
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	All b) Some * c) None of:			-, (-,-		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.			
\ .	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			No.		
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	ity documents h eau (PCT Rule	ave been received i		age	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				plication).	
15) 🗌 A	☐ The translation of the foreign language provice in the translation of the foreign language provices in the translation of th	visional applicat c priority under 3	ion has been receiv 35 U.S.C. && 120 ar	ed. nd/or 121		
Attachment(. ,			,	
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-1	52)	
U.S. Patent and Trac PTOL-326 (Rev	0.4.0.43	ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 3		

Application/Control Number: 09/509,301

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 USC 35 U.S.C. Sec. 102(b) as anticipated by WO 94/00237 to Monash University (published 6 January 1994)(hereinafter "Lawson").

Lawson describes a material comprising an ion exchange material dispersed or distributed within a polyurethane polymer. The polyurethane polymer may be swollen by toluene (page 7 line 36). Accordingly, given the broadest reasonable construction of the claims that is not inconsistent with the specification, Lawson's material is "superabsorbent."

Also, there does not appear to be any indication in Lawson – or elsewhere on this record – that a polyurethane foam capable of absorbing toluene cannot also absorb water notwithstanding the widely recognized nature of toluene as a hydrophobic organic solvent and water as a hydrophilic aqueous solvent. That is, there is no indication on this record that the Lawson polyurethane foam cannot absorb hydrophilic water as well as a hydrophobic organic solvent, such as toluene.

USP 5838863 at col 11 line 65+, USP 5104909 (entire document), and JP 2-140216 are cited to show that polyurethane foams are widely recognized as being water absorbent.

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 USC $\S112(2^{nd})$ as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter for which patent protection is sought. The distinction, if there is one, between the Lawson disclosure and the invention of at least

Art Unit: 1724

claims 1 and 6, lies in a reasonably precise understanding of the distinction between a "superabsorbent" polyurethane foam and other polyurethane foam materials that are not fairly characterized as "superabsorbent." The art of record does not appear to provide a reasonably precise standard by which this distinction may be made with a reasonable degree of certainty. Applicant's specification does not provide a reasonably clear definition of what applicant regards as a "superabsorbent" polymer in terms that provide the public with fair notice of the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Chester T Barry, Examine,

703-306-5921